

Writing a literature review



Dr Aaron Thom
Student Learning Service
Centre for Academic Development
www.abdn.ac.uk/sls



## Workshop overview



- What is a Literature Review?
- What is the purpose of a Literature Review?
- How to approach it?
- What should it contain?
- Some advice / tips on writing a critical appraisal of the literature.



# When might you have to undertake a literature review?



- Depends on department requirements, but often an essential part of:
  - A longer essay.
  - Preparation of a scholarly paper.
  - An assignment in e.g. The School of Education.
  - An integral part of a final year or Masters dissertation, or a chapter of a PhD research thesis.

 Sometimes you may be asked to write one as a separate assignment in the form of an Annotated Bibliography.

### What is a literature review?



- An overview of the significant literature published on a topic previously by scholars and researchers.
- It should not just be a descriptive list of the relevant materials.
- It is **not** the same as a Book Review.

It should be defined by the **scope** of your topic: the issue or the line of argument you are discussing.

### What materials should it contain?



- An overview of the subject / argument under consideration.
- A critical overview of the key and relevant sources:
  - Include both print and online materials.
  - Scholarly / Academic monographs (Books).
  - Scholarly / Academic journal articles e.g. available through Jstor.

 Any other scholarly / academic sources e.g., theses; dissertations; statistics; data; oral transcripts; government or other organisation reports etc.

# Development of a literature review?



- Before considering your sources, focus on the task or the formulation of a research topic – what is the relevant literature?
- What topic / field / subject are you examining?
- Literature search: relevant subject materials?
- Evaluation of materials: relevant contribution to the topic?
- Analysis and interpretation: findings and conclusions of the relevant literature.

# Critical vs. non-critical reading of the relevant literature



#### Non-critical reader:

- Reads e.g. a History book to learn the facts of the situation / to discover an accepted interpretation of events.
- Accepts what is read as facts; takes what is written as a given.
- Reads a text / piece of work so as to gain knowledge by memorising what is written / certain statements contained within.

## Critical vs. non-critical reading



#### Critical reader:

- A text provides but one portrayal of the facts, one individual's "take" on the subject matter e.g. History book offers one particular perspective / selection of facts.
- Critical readers thus go beyond what a text simply says.
- Also consider *how* that text portrays the subject matter.
- Every text is the unique creation of a unique author.

# Critical appraisal of the literature: considerations



- Employ critical thinking: go beyond simply recognising what the text says and restating the key remarks.
- Reflect on what the text does by making such remarks.
- Does it offer?
  - An argument?
  - Examples and evidence to support the argument?
  - Appeals for sympathy for a particular standpoint or perspective?

# Critical appraisal of the literature: considerations



- Read, or skim the key works. Then consider where relevant:
  - What type of material is it?
  - When was it written / published?
  - **Who** wrote it / published it?
- Consider the writer's purpose, bias or agenda.
  - Identify the argument and conclusions.
  - Identify any different or similar approaches within the literature.
  - Question surface appearances.



# Critical appraisal of the literature: considerations



- Read / skim the works.
- Consider:
  - **Provenance:** Author's credentials? Evidence supporting their argument e.g. primary sources, case studies, statistics etc. Theoretical or Empirical?
  - **Objectivity:** Author's perspective? Prejudiced? Bias? Any relevant information ignored?
  - **Persuasiveness:** How convincing is the author's argument?
  - Value: Are the author's arguments / conclusions convincing? Contribution to the field?

## What should a literature review show?



- Key purpose: places the relevant literature in the specific context.
  - Highlights the various contributions to the understanding of the topic.
  - Conveys knowledge / ideas already established on the topic.
  - Identifies areas of controversy in the literature.
  - Identifies 'schools of thought': groups of scholars who agree with one particular perspective.
  - Describes the relationship; comparatives; differences; similarities with other relevant materials.

## What should a literature review show?



- Sometimes it will help to identify a 'gap' in the existing scholarship.
- Highlights under-developed areas for new / further research (try and then link to your own work).
- Raises questions requiring further research.
- Places your work in the context of the existing literature.
- Discusses studies which are **contrary** to your perspective / argument.

## Some general guidelines



- Subject overview and aims of the literature review.
- Think about your paragraphing: how will you organise your literature review?
  - Chronological; dated recent?
  - Thematically?
- By different schools of thought on the subject?
- Signposting discursive writing: highlight your analysis to your reader.
- Consider your vocabulary!
- Summary and Synthesis.

## Summing up ....



- Begin your Literature Review early on, if not first!
- Provides you with a solid background knowledge of the research topic.
- Supplement / improve / streamline / re-draft it as your research progresses.
- Postgraduate level (e.g. PhD) initial literature review is exploratory.
- Re-write at the end to make it more fit for purpose: discard discussions which are no longer relevant / add more relevant sources, latest published research on the topic.
- Use an analysis of the literature to contextualise how your work fits into the picture.

